Ĭ	
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
2	TOR THE DISTRICT OF NEDROSM
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. 8:21CR33
4	Plaintiff,)
5	vs.)
6	MITCHEL D. ABRAHAM,)
7) Omaha, Nebraska Defendant.) October 13, 2021
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRIAN C. BUESCHER
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	A-P-P-E-A-R-A-N-C-E-S
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Mr. Donald J. Kleine
15	Assistant United States Attorney 1620 Dodge Street Suite 1400
16	Omaha, NE 68102-1506
17	EOD MILE DEPENDANT. Ma Walle M. Chaophagh
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT: Ms. Kelly M. Steenbock Assistant Federal Public Defender 222 South 15th Street
19	Suite 300N, One Central Park Plaza
20	Omaha, NE 68102
21	COURT REPORTER: Ms. Rogene S. Schroder, RDR, CRR 111 South 18th Plaza
22	Suite 3129 Omaha, NE 68102
23	(402) 661-7383
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25	produced with computer.

```
1
            (At 10:40 a.m. on October 13, 2021; with counsel and the
2
      defendant present:)
 3
                THE COURT: You may be seated.
           We're on the record in United States of America versus
 4
      Mitchel Abraham, Case Number 8:21CR33. This matter comes on
 5
 6
      for sentencing with respect to Count I of the indictment,
      transportation of a minor with intent to engage in criminal
 7
      sexual activity.
 8
 9
           Counsel, would you please enter your appearances.
10
                MR. KLEINE: Good morning, Your Honor. For the
11
      United States, I'm Don Kleine.
12
                MS. STEENBOCK: Good morning, Judge. Kelly
13
      Steenbock, Assistant Federal Public Defender, on behalf of
14
      Mr. Abraham, who is here at counsel table with me today.
15
                THE COURT: It appears there has been a Rule
16
      11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement in this case.
17
           Do the parties still wish for me to accept the plea
18
      agreement? Mr. Kleine.
19
                MR. KLEINE: Yes, Your Honor.
20
                THE COURT: Ms. Steenbock.
21
                MS. STEENBOCK: Yes, Your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: I would like to hear allocution before I
23
      decide whether to accept this agreement.
24
           Ms. Steenbock, did you receive the Revised Presentence
25
      Investigation Report and the Sentencing Recommendation and have
```

```
1
      you reviewed those documents with your client?
 2
                MS. STEENBOCK: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Mr. Abraham, did you also receive the
      Revised Presentence Investigation Report and the Sentencing
 4
 5
      Recommendation and have you had the opportunity to review those
      documents?
 6
 7
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Mr. Kleine, did you receive and review
 8
 9
      those same documents?
10
                MR. KLEINE: I have, Your Honor.
11
                THE COURT: I believe there are no objections to the
12
      report that require resolution. Is that correct, Mr. Kleine?
13
                MR. KLEINE: Yes, Your Honor.
14
                THE COURT: Ms. Steenbock.
15
                MS. STEENBOCK: That's correct.
16
                THE COURT: I do adopt the presentence investigation
17
      report without change.
18
           I will now address the quideline calculations in this
19
      matter, although I note this is subject to an 11(c)(1)(C) plea
20
      agreement that will impact sentencing in the event that I
21
      accept the agreement.
2.2
           The total offense level is 35 and the criminal history
23
      category is II. The guideline custody range is 188 to 255
24
      months. The guideline range for supervised release is five
25
      years to life. The defendant is ineligible for probation.
                                                                   The
```

```
1
      fine range is 40,000 to 250,000. Restitution is mandatory but
2
      no request for restitution has been made. The charged conduct
 3
      is subject to a JVTA assessment of 5,000 and an AVAA assessment
      of up to 50,000 and a special assessment of $100 is to be
 4
 5
      assessed.
 6
           Have I accurately stated the sentencing guideline
 7
      provisions, Mr. Kleine?
 8
                MR. KLEINE: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
                THE COURT: Ms. Steenbock.
10
                MS. STEENBOCK: Judge, I would object to the AVAA
11
      assessment at this point and we can discuss it later. He was
12
      not convicted of one of the qualifying offenses.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. And so, therefore, you're saying
14
      the AVAA assessment is not -- you're saying the AVAA is not
15
      appropriate in this case because of that?
16
                MS. STEENBOCK: Yes, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: Okay. All right. That is so noted and
18
      we can discuss that during allocution.
19
           So have I accurately stated the sentencing guideline
20
      provisions but for the -- the objection noted by counsel,
21
      Ms. Sosa?
22
                MS. STEENBOCK: Ms. Steenbock. Yes, Your Honor.
23
                THE COURT:
                            I'm sorry.
24
                MS. STEENBOCK: That's okay.
25
                THE COURT: Ms. Sosa was just in here, sorry.
```

```
1
                MS. STEENBOCK: She was just here, yes.
 2
                THE COURT: Ms. Steenbock, yes. Thank you.
 3
           And Mr. Kleine.
                MR. KLEINE: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
                THE COURT: All right. So I will now hear allocution
 5
 6
      beginning with counsel for the defendant, Ms. Steenbock.
 7
                MS. STEENBOCK: Pardon? I didn't hear you, Your
 8
      Honor.
 9
                THE COURT: I'm sorry. Now I'll hear allocation
10
      [sic].
11
                MS. STEENBOCK: Oh, thank you, Your Honor. May I
12
      remove my mask while I speak?
13
                THE COURT: You may, please.
14
                MS. STEENBOCK: Thank you. Thank you, Judge.
15
           I think the Court is interested in how the government and
16
      I reached this plea agreement because it is a little off from
17
      the guideline calculation. I'll tell you this investigation
18
      started and it was charged as a transportation of a minor.
19
      Mr. Abraham does not have a criminal history really to speak
20
      of, and so with the offense conduct, including the enhancements
21
      that applied, his guideline sentence, as charged, would have
22
      been less than the ten-year mandatory minimum.
23
           As the investigation unfolded after charging, it came to
24
      both of our attention, both myself and the government's
25
      attention, that there might have been some photographs that
```

were exchanged between the minor victim and my client. I believe that he indicated as much when he was interviewed by the police.

Mr. Kleine and I talked on several occasions about my client's age, his lack of criminal history, the fact that there was no force or threats used to coerce the victim either with the taking of the photographs or with the transportation to Nebraska.

Ultimately we decided to go above the mandatory minimum which would have been otherwise appropriate given the fact that the guideline was below the mandatory minimum but not quite to the 15 years that would have been required by statute if we had required the government to get a superseding indictment charging the exchange of pictures charge.

So kind of split the baby, you know? We're giving away a little bit based on the charge. The government gave away a little bit to avoid having to go through the forensic efforts of actually investigating the phone.

You know from the presentence report that Mr. Abraham has a pretty confusing history, I guess, is the best word I would use to describe it in that he and his sister were both victims of sexual and domestic abuse of his mother's partner.

Mr. Abraham then went to go live with his father and his stepmother, and it appears from the records that they did everything they could to get him intervention, to get him

treatment. He was involved in two separate facilities in order to assist him with dealing with that trauma. Obviously, this court should be concerned that here we are in this situation, that the -- the earlier interventions did not suffice.

What I will tell you is this is a very significant prison sentence for a 23-year-old young man. The motivation for the offense does not appear to be a salacious attempt to entice a minor. It appears to be motivated primarily by the age disparity and the ability to maybe get affection more easily than one could with a -- a peer or a young lady that is more his age. Mr. Abraham is very immature, he's been unable to hold a job steady, and so he's not super appealing to young ladies in their early 20s, and I think that that's how this relationship built -- built itself in that the victim, who is young, a little bit of an outsider, Mr. Abraham, who is older and also a bit of an outsider, saw a common bond.

I don't know why the -- the minor victim's family chose to not respond to requests. It is both mine and I think

Mr. Abraham's sincere hope that she's getting the counseling she needs. From scrolling her -- through her information -- certainly I -- I don't blame her or anything -- but she -- she definitely was interested in leaving her family home. She was not happy and I think from her point of view, this might have been an answer to that unhappiness.

Mr. Abraham since he's been in custody has been a model

inmate. He has, while available to him, engaged in treatment, counseling. While at Douglas County, he was in the God mod and found some support through that. Unfortunately, he's been transferred to a couple of different facilities and they just have not had programming available for him so he spent the majority of his time reading and mentally preparing himself for prison.

As the Court knows, having certainly had people appear in front of you who've never been in a prison setting, it's a very intimidating prospect for Mr. Abraham. He is young. He does not have a lot of skills to defend himself and so I would ask the Court to note that he would be in need of protection while in a prison setting.

I'd ask the Court to note his interest and desire to participate in both substance abuse and also sex-offender-specific counseling. His goal is do those things and then also engage in some vocational training so when he gets out he can be successful and so that he can comply with the terms and conditions of supervised release.

So, Your Honor, we would ask you to go along with the 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement. It was well thought out by all the parties, it considered all of the circumstances, the guidelines, and as well as my client's lack of criminal history and lack of violence associated with this offense.

If you have any other questions, I'd be happy to address

them otherwise I'll submit.

THE COURT: Yeah. I would like to hear about the

AVAA assessment and why you don't think it applies and -
MS. STEENBOCK: I believe that it applies to

pornography offenses and Mr. Abraham was not convicted of that

offense. The specific offense he was convicted of is

18:2423(a) and that is not listed amongst the crimes that AVAA

applies to.

As to the JVTA, I do think that applies to him, but I think he would qualify as indigent and so I'd ask the Court to not impose that. The reality is, is that the victim didn't respond for a request for restitution which I think would wholly apply but they didn't request restitution. I don't know why 'cause they certainly had expenses coming down here to retrieve their daughter. I'm sure that she's involved in counseling but we can't answer to that.

I will say that as far as the financial aspect of it,

Mr. Abraham is going to have expenses associated with

court-ordered treatment when he finishes his prison sentence.

I don't know what his earning capacity is going to be. I'm

going to assume, especially right out of prison, it's not going

to be great, and I think that it is in the best interests of

justice as well that this court not fine him as -- so that he

can spend his resources stabilizing his life and complying with

treatment requirements.

THE COURT: Is there any legal reason I can't fine 1 2 him? 3 MS. STEENBOCK: No. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 5 Mr. Abraham, you do not have to speak but now is the time 6 for you to address the Court if you wish to say something 7 before I pronounce a sentence. Would you like to say anything? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: I'm really just -- I'm sorry and I 10 didn't mean any harm. That's it, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12 Before I hear from the government, I just would like to 1.3 make a comment on this case. Almost all little kids or -- or 14 kids in middle school and high school are on Snapchat. This is 15 a case where the defendant in Nebraska found a girl in 16 Pennsylvania on Snapchat, drove out and picked up a 17 15-year-old, drove her back to Nebraska. Within an hour of picking her up, had sex with her. This is egregious conduct 18 19 and this -- and then he took pictures of her, of a child as 20 well. 21 This could easily be justified as a life sentence. This 22 is horrible conduct, and I just need to hear from the 23 government as to why this was pled to 150 months when literally 24 this would be a -- this could be a life sentence, and I believe

the Eighth Circuit would have no trouble affirming that.

25

let's -- let's hear it.

1.3

MR. KLEINE: Sure, Your Honor.

Your Honor, as this court -- as this court is aware that Mr. Abraham is charged with the enticement, and the government did determine, based upon the conduct and the evidence that we have, that the guideline range in this case is 121 to 151 months.

I don't believe there's evidence that the defendant took photos of the victim but instead there were Snapchat communications between the two where the victim sent -- or it's alleged that the victim sent an image to the defendant.

We didn't charge that conduct because there were issues with that evidence. That's why we're where we're at is because to lay it out there, we can't get into his phone because of certain technical issues that prevent us from being able to establish that evidence, and had we been able to establish that evidence, we would have charged it.

We're not giving him a break because we feel sorry for Mr. Abraham. We're not giving him a break because we want to give him a break. Laying it out there on the record, we charged what we could charge based upon the evidence that we have. And up until the point that this case was negotiated and resolved, we still have not been able to get into his phone to be able to establish that, in fact, he received child pornography.

And so based upon the totality of the circumstances, we believed that with a guideline range of 121 to 151 months, 150 months, at the high end of that guideline range, is reasonable and that's why we agreed to that amount of time.

I am asking and I do agree with Probation's recommendation of 30 years of supervised release and I think that is extremely important as well given his background.

THE COURT: Tell me this, I noticed in the plea agreement that he could be subject to federal charges in other jurisdictions. Obviously, the -- the documents say he had sex with her in Pennsylvania.

MR. KLEINE: Correct.

THE COURT: Could he be charged with having sex with a 15-year-old in Pennsylvania as well?

MR. KLEINE: I think he probably could be.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KLEINE: Now, again, federally there's the Department of Justice issues which are called the Petite Policy and things of that nature, but I think that -- yeah, I think he could be charged in any state where that criminal activity occurred.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

I -- After reviewing the underlying facts and hearing
from the prosecution, I very reluctantly accept the plea
agreement. Let me just say that this is a nightmare. This is

1 horrible conduct and, you know, I want to tell you, 2 Mr. Abraham, without the -- the work of your lawyer, you 3 literally could have ended up potentially in jail for the rest of your life for doing this. You were 22 years old at the 4 time. You know you can't go to Philadelphia and pick up a 5 15-year-old and go have sex with her. There isn't anyone with 6 7 a brain who doesn't know you can't do that. This justifies a life sentence and -- and in federal court 8 9 a life sentence means a life sentence. It means you never get

out of jail. That's what it means.

Is there any legal reason sentence should not now be pronounced, Mr. Kleine?

MR. KLEINE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Steenbock.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. STEENBOCK: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In crafting this sentence, I have considered all factors outlined under 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a), including general deterrence, specific deterrence, protection of the public, the need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities and the specific history and characteristics of the defendant.

The Court has also considered the seriousness of the conduct, the need to promote respect for the law and the need to provide just punishment for the conduct at issue.

Recognizing that the guidelines are advisory in nature and

1 following the parameters of the Rule 11(c)(1)(C) plea 2 agreement, I hereby sentence the defendant, Mitchel D. Abraham, 3 to a term of 150 months of incarceration. Related to incarceration, I recommend the following: 4 the Bureau of Prisons allow the defendant to participate in a 5 500 intensive -- 500-hour intensive drug treatment program or 6 7 any similar and available drug treatment program if the professionals at the Bureau of Prisons find such programming to 8 9 be appropriate; the defendant receive educational or vocational 10 training in accordance with past skills and education; that the 11 defendant receive or participate in sex offender treatment. 12 With regard to location of incarceration, is there a 1.3 recommendation from the defendant? 14 MS. STEENBOCK: Englewood. 15 THE COURT: I will recommend Englewood. 16 Upon the release from prison, the defendant shall be 17 placed on 30 years of supervised release. I intend to follow 18 the mandatory and special conditions of supervised release set out in the Sentencing Recommendation. 19 20 Do the parties have objections to any of these conditions, 21 Ms. Steenbock? 2.2 MS. STEENBOCK: No, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Kleine. 24 MR. KLEINE: No, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: The special and mandatory conditions are

ordered. The standard conditions of supervised release are also imposed.

I am not going to impose a JVTA assessment because the Revised Presentence Investigation Report indicates the defendant appears to be indigent. I am not going to impose a fine under AVAA given Ms. Steenbock's argument with regard to that.

What's happened recently is we've had the federal government writing checks to those people in federal prison for some reason, and given that and -- the fact that that could happen and given the fact of the age of the defendant when he receives [sic] from jail, I am going to fine him \$10,000. That is an amount that anyone at the age that the defendant is released from jail will be able to work off and pay at that point in time. Get -- With any job that you have, that could happen so I am going to issue that fine. Also, if -- if the government decides again to write checks to those in federal prison, the -- the amount the government gives could be utilized to pay part of this fine given the circumstances.

I'm not going to order restitution because the victim has not asked for any restitution.

The \$100 special assessment will be imposed.

The defendant should be given credit for any time served and shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample at the direction of the probation officer or the Bureau of Prisons if

that has not already occurred.

That is my judgment and sentence in this case.

Mr. Abraham, you limited your right to appeal in your plea agreement. With regard to any remaining right to appeal, you could ask to proceed with the fees and costs waived.

If you have any questions about that limitation or about any remaining right of appeal, you should discuss that matter with your lawyer.

Mr. Abraham, I will note that you are now on -- after you're done with your sentence, you will be on 30 years of supervised release. There is a very significant chance that I will still be on the bench a good portion of that 30 years, and if you get in trouble again, you will come back and see me, and I will trust you -- I will remember today and I will remember the unbelievably good deal you just got today.

So I hope I never see you again, and I'll even remember 15 years from now when this is all -- when you're out -- out there again so that's what I'd like to say so the defendant is remanded --

Yes, go ahead.

(An off-the-record discussion was had between the courtroom deputy and the Court.)

THE COURT: And I'm going to waive interest on the fine as well just to make it easier for the government to keep track of that so there will be no interest on the fine.

```
1
            So the defendant is remanded to the custody of the marshal
2
      to be delivered to the Bureau of Prisons.
 3
            Is there anything further from the United States?
                 MR. KLEINE: No, Your Honor.
 4
 5
                 THE COURT: Anything from the defense?
                MS. STEENBOCK: No, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: We are adjourned.
 8
            (Adjourned at 11:01 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
            I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
13
      the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
14
                /s/Rogene S. Schroder
                                                    October 19, 2021
15
                Rogene S. Schroder, RDR, CRR
                                                           Date
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```